

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/815,621	04/02/2004	Kia Silverbrook	HYC001US	9577	
24011 SILVERBROO	7590 07/08/200 OK RESEARCH PTY I	EXAM	EXAMINER		
393 DARLING STREET			MOSSER, ROBERT E		
BALMAIN, 20 AUSTRALIA		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
TO THE LOCAL PROPERTY OF THE P			3714		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			07/08/2009	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/815,621	SILVERBROOK ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
ROBERT MOSSER	3714	

	ROBERT MOSSER	3714	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED June 24th, 2009 FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	PPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	ALLOWANCE.	
 X The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance, (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods: 	the same day as filing a Notice of a replies: (1) an amendment, affidavitial (with appeal fee) in compliance FR 1.114. The reply must be filed	Appeal. To avoid abar t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
 a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing 	date of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	date of the final rejection	n.
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(I		00/->	
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The data have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of ext under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount of hortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing date	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with the notice of Appeal has been filed.	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
AMENDMENTS	unin the time period set forth in 57	5FR 41.57 (a).	
The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, t They raise new issues that would require further cor They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below the search of the	nsideration and/or search (see NOT		cause
(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bett appeal; and/or	ter form for appeal by materially rec	lucing or simplifying th	ne issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a c	corresponding number of finally reje	cted claims.	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).			
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12		npliant Amendment (I	OL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):			
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). 	owable if submitted in a separate, t	imely filed amendmer	it canceling the
 For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) I how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proving the proposed amendment of the proposed amendment (s): a) I how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proving the proposed amendment (s): a) I have been pr		be entered and an ex	planation of
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: 32 and 40-42.			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fails	to provide a
 The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 	n of the status of the claims after er	itry is below or attache	ed.
The request for reconsideration has been considered but See Continuation Sheet.	does NOT place the application in	condition for allowand	ce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
13. Other:			
/Dmitry Suhol/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3714			

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

Continuation of 11. Does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:

The applicant generally argues two main points: First the applicant takes objection to the motivation relied upon in the combination of prior art because that motivation is not expressly provided in the prior art; and Second the applicant suggests that the claim features of associating communication device IDs with telecommunication address is not provided for by the combination of prior art.

On the first point and responsive to applicant's argument that there is no suggestion to combine the references, the examiner recognizes that obviousness can only be established by combining or modifying the teachings of the prior art to produce the claimed invention where there is some teaching, suggestion, or motivation to do so found either in the references themselves or in the knowledge generally available to one of ordinary skill in the art. See in re Fine, 837 F.2d 1071, 5 USPQ2d 1959 (Fed. Cir. 1988) and In re Jones, 958-E2 347, 21 USPQ2d 1959 (Fed. Cir. 1986). In this case the motivational statements are clearly attached to readily appreciable and recognizable advantages to the incorporation of prior art elements demonstrated by Silverbrook and Stangl into the reference of Seidman as set forth in the final relaction dated Anti [28, 2009.

On the second point the applicant's arguments directed to the association of a sensing device ID with a temporary and actual communication address are understood as described in page 3 through 4 of the Final office action previously referenced above wherein the same describes the association of various IDs with the player transmission of their entry and concealment of the actual communication addresses willicated in the transmission through the incorporation of temporary communications addresses. Provide the submission of the addresses (both actual; and temporary) are associated in at least so much as they cumulatively provide the submission of the competition entry. Related claimed features directed to the steps of communication addresses as taught by Stangl and incorporated into the rejection of record.